

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/05/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400030013-3

C-6435/A

*Eugene G. Lubinski*MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR
OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING

SUBJECT: Exchange of Correspondence

With reference to your memorandum of 18 September 1964,
the information concerning [] has been checked
against our files with negative results. The appropriate component
of the Agency has been advised of [] intended visit to
Poland. Should further information of interest come to our attention,
you will be advised promptly.

STAT

STAT

Marshall S. Carter
Lieutenant General, USA
Deputy Director

Originated in DDP/EE []
Retyped for format: O/ExDir
Distribution:

Original and 1 - Addressee

1 - DDCI

✓ 1 - ER

2 - DDP

2 - G/EE []

OSD REVIEW COMPLETED Release 2003/05/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400030013-3

Signature Recommended:

STAT

[Redacted Signature]

9 - OCT 1964

Richard Helms
Deputy Director for Plans

STAT

[Redacted Signature]

5 October 1964

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

2 - DCI

2 - DDP

2 - C/EE [Redacted]

STAT



Approved For Release 2003/05/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400030013-3

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

Executive Registry

64-6435

4-1935

DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF
DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING

38 SEP 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: Exchange of Correspondence

The attached copies of correspondence pertain to a situation that may cause some difficulty for the individual concerned. He thinks that a person in Poland has information of value to the U. S. Defense Department but has not described the nature of the information sufficiently so that a judgment can be made as to whether it is, in fact, useful.


Our first reply of 21 July was based on the feeling that it probably was not worthwhile to follow up, and that it might represent an attempt to use this as a means of enlisting U. S. support to get the man out of Poland or possibly to embarrass the United States. In reply on 6 August, [] indicates that he is going to Poland, a fact not mentioned in his previous letter, and he wants a contact from the U. S. Embassy in Warsaw for a meeting with the inventor.

There is still nothing that would indicate that the inventor has anything of interest, and our experience with approaches of this type are generally fruitless. Furthermore, the possibility of embarrassment to the United States or hazard to the individuals is increased by the trip. Accordingly, a letter (copy also attached) has been sent [] asking that he take no steps on behalf of the Department of Defense to contact the inventor.

This information is being furnished you at the suggestion of the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency.

Eugene G. Fubini

4 Attachments



Approved For Release 2003/05/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400030013-3



Approved For Release 2003/05/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400030013-3
DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

2 SEP 1964

Mr. Lucius Groney
6550 Hartwell Avenue
Dearborn, Michigan

Dear Mr. Groney:

With respect to your letter to me of 6 August 1964, it is requested that you take no steps on our behalf to contact the inventor in Poland on your proposed trip. It is most undesirable that you appear in any way to be a representative of the U. S. Department of Defense or that you appear to make any contacts for the Department while on the trip.

Very truly yours,

Signed
Harold G. Brown
[Signature]
Harold Brown

Approved For Release 2003/05/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000400030013-3

August 6th, 1964

Director of Defense Research and Engineering
Washington 25, D. C.

Attention: Mr. Harold Brown

Dear Mr. Brown:

Reference is made to your letter of July 21st, 1964.

I can appreciate your reluctance to allocate funds to check up on an invention in Poland, the merits of which are unknown to you. But, by the same token, you can appreciate the reluctance of the inventor to trust the details of his invention to the mails which are subject to censorship in Poland.

It so happens that I will be in Poland this fall during the months of September and October.

During this time I would be in a position to set up a meeting between the inventor and whomever you might designate from our Embassy in Warsaw.

Furthermore, I would be willing to underwrite the cost of bringing the inventor to Warsaw. If you would prefer to make some other type of arrangements, this too could be arranged.

Your early answer would be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Lucius Groner
6550 Hartwell Avenue
Dearborn, Michigan

Mr. Lucius Crony
6550 Hartwell
Dearborn, Michigan

21 JUL 1964

pg 9 Crony

Dear Mr. Crony:

In reply to your letter to Secretary McNamara of July 8, the Department of Defense is of course interested in any invention or technical development that holds promise of improving our military effectiveness.

Because you have not furnished any information as to the nature of this weapon, it is not possible to assess its effectiveness nor to know whether it is comparable with other weapons we may have. The fact that the invention was supposed to have been successful during the last war seems to indicate that the theory or concept has been known for a long time and might well be known to U. S. scientists and engineers.

In view of the time, expense and difficulty in checking up on each a matter in Poland, we see no reason for us to take any positive step unless we learn more about it either from you or by mail from the inventor.

I would like to thank you very much for your interest in Defense matters.

Sincerely,

Signed
Eugene G. Fubini

for Harold Brown

SECLEMENTS:EGFUBINI/id
20 Jul 64

Mr. Lucius Gromey
6550 Hartwell
Dearborn, Michigan

21 JUL 1964

pg 9 Gromey

Dear Mr. Gromey:

In reply to your letter to Secretary McNamara of July 8, the Department of Defense is of course interested in any invention or technical development that holds promise of improving our military effectiveness.

Because you have not furnished any information as to the nature of this weapon, it is not possible to assess its effectiveness nor to know whether it is comparable with other weapons we may have. The fact that the invention was supposed to have been successful during the last war seems to indicate that the theory or concept has been known for a long time and might well be known to U. S. scientists and engineers.

In view of the time, expense and difficulty in checking up on such a matter in Poland, we see no reason for us to take any positive step unless we learn more about it either from you or by mail from the inventor.

I would like to thank you very much for your interest in Defense matters.

Sincerely,

Signed
Eugene G. Fubini

for Harold Brown

SECREMENTS:EGFUBINI/id
20 Jul 64

09D(R+a)

July 8, 1964

Mr. Robert S. McNamara
Secretary of Defense
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

Last year while I was traveling in Poland, I met an inventor, who claims to be the possessor of an important military invention.

Although I am not at liberty to divulge any information regarding this invention beyond describing it as a tank destroyer, I can tell you that the invention was tested against several German "Tiger" tanks during the last war with devastating result for the tanks.

Of course the inventor has no way of knowing whether this country already has such a weapon in its arsenal. But, if this matter seems worthy of investigation, such investigation would soon clear up any doubts on the matter.

The inventor states that time is of the essence and if some member of our Polish embassy would contact him, he would be willing to negotiate with him. I would be happy to furnish his address should you be interested.

I appreciate the fact that you must receive a great volume of mail similar to this letter of mine, but I would remind you that no avenue of possible development should be overlooked in these troubled times.

Respectfully yours,

Lucius Groncy

Lucius Groncy
6550 Hartwell
Dearborn, Michigan

E1-2219

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	DDP	22 SEP 79	[]
2	C/EE		
3			
4	EE []		
5			
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks: 1. Reply to Fubini 2. Any action EE wants to take. <i>2-4: Pls. call me. [initials]</i>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
[] O/ExDir			21 Sept.